

**DOWNERS GROVE LIQUOR COMMISSION  
VILLAGE HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
801 BURLINGTON AVENUE  
Thursday, November 5, 2020**

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

Chairman Strelau called the November 5, 2020 Liquor Commission meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

**II. ROLL CALL**

**PRESENT:** Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Krusenoski (via telephone), Mr. Meta, Mr. Rausch, Mr. Shah, Chairman Strelau

**ABSENT:** None

**STAFF:** Carol Kuchynka, Liaison to the Liquor Commission, Assistant Village Attorney Dawn Didier

**OTHERS:** Mike Ilic, Sam Vlahos, Court Reporter

**III. ELECTRONIC ATTENDANCE**

Chairman Strelau asked for a motion to allow Commissioner Krusenoski to participate in this evening's meeting via telephone.

**MR. JACOBSON MOVED TO ALLOW COMMISSIONER KRUSENOSKI TO PARTICIPATE ELECTRONICALLY. MR. JOHNSON SECONDED.**

**VOTE:**

**Aye:** Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Meta, Mr. Rausch, Mr. Shah, Chairman Strelau

**Nay:** None

**Abstain:** None

**MOTION CARRIED: 6:0:0**

**IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Chairman Strelau asked for approval of the minutes for the July 2, 2020 Liquor Commission meeting and asked members if there were any corrections, changes or additions.

Hearing no changes, corrections or additions, the July 2, 2020 minutes of the Liquor Commission meeting were approved as written.

Chairman Strelau reminded those present that this evening's meeting was being recorded on Village-owned equipment. Staff was present to keep minutes for the record and a court reporter was present taking the minutes verbatim.

**IV. APPLICATION FOR LIQUOR LICENSE**

Chairman Strelau made the following statements:

"The next order of business is to conduct a public hearing for liquor license applications. For the benefit of all present, I would like to state that this Commission does not determine the granting or denial of the issuance of any license. We may, at the end of each hearing, make a finding or recommendation with respect to the application. If necessary, the Commission may adjourn a hearing to a later date in order to have benefit of further information."

"At the conclusion of the hearing, the Commission will summarize its findings and determine any recommendations it wishes to make to the Liquor Commissioner."

"The Liquor Commissioner, who is the Mayor of Downers Grove, will, pursuant to Section 3-12 of the Ordinance, render decisions regarding issuance of available liquor licenses within 60 days."

"Hearings by this Commission are held according to the following format: 1) reading of information pertinent to the application, 2) comments from the applicant, 3) discussion by the Commission, 4) comments from staff, 5) comments from the public, and 6) motion and finding by the Commission."

### **Board & Brush – 5151 Main Street**

Chairman Strelau stated that the first order of business was an application hearing for Ilic Enterprises, LLC d/b/a Board & Brush located at 5151 Main Street. She stated that the applicant was seeking a Class "AS", arts & crafts studio, beer and wine only, on-premise consumption liquor license.

Chairman Strelau asked that any individual(s) representing the applicant step forward and be seated. She asked that any individual(s) giving testimony, state and spell their name for the record, indicate their affiliation with the establishment and be sworn in by the court reporter.

Mr. Mike Ilic was sworn in by the court reporter. Mr. Ilic introduced himself as the owner and liquor manager for Board & Brush.

Chairman Strelau asked the applicant to present its case.

Mr. Ilic stated that Board & Brush is a do-it-yourself wood sign workshop. He stated that patrons select a craft project to design which takes approximately three hours to make. He stated that he would like to apply for a liquor license so that patrons can purchase beer or wine while they are completing their projects. Mr. Ilic stated that Downers Grove will be their 3<sup>rd</sup> location. He added that he operates two other Board & Brush studios in St. Charles and Oswego.

Chairman Strelau requested questions from the Commission.

Mr. Jacobson asked Mr. Ilic about his experience. Mr. Ilic replied that he has owned and operated two successful Board & Brush locations in St. Charles and Oswego over the past two years and noted that both locations have liquor licenses.

Mr. Jacobson asked Mr. Ilic if there were violations at either location. Mr. Ilic replied no.

Mr. Shah had no questions.

Mr. Meta asked Mr. Ilic about the number of employees. Mr. Ilic replied depending on the number of tables in operation per session, each table will have one assistant to guide and overlook participant's

projects. He stated that in addition to the assistant per table there is a manager on duty along with a project manager on hand.

Mr. Meta asked if there were mixed ages of patrons for projects. Mr. Ilic replied that 90% of activities and crafts they offer are for those 16 years of age and older. He stated that their target demographics are adults age 30 and over.

Mr. Johnson asked Mr. Ilic if he will remain full time at the Downers Grove location. Mr. Ilic replied he will get the location up and running for a few months and hire a full time manager.

Mr. Johnson asked about the hours of operation. Mr. Ilic replied that hours will vary, but most projects take place on a Friday or Saturday night with private parties being held during the week.

Mr. Johnson asked about the liquor serving area. Mr. Ilic replied that a custom cabinet that holds beer and wine is on the south wall. He stated that there is a fridge to keep beer cold.

Mr. Johnson asked how many drinks a customer is typically served. Mr. Ilic replied that a customer may have 1-2 drinks but noted that with the hands on nature of the projects, it is hard to drink during the session.

Mr. Rausch had no questions.

Mr. Krusenoski stated that he has personal experience attending a workshop and advised that this location is not a bar scene and stated there really is no time to consume during the workshop hours.

Chairman Strelau welcomed Mr. Ilic and was pleased that despite the Covid situation he was pursuing another location.

Chairman Strelau asked Mr. Ilic if all employees were BASSETT certified. Mr. Ilic replied no and stated that table assistants are typically under 21. He noted that the project manager and manager on duty would be over 21 and will be certified. He stated that table assistants are trained to let managers know if there is an issue with any patrons and the consumption of alcohol.

Chairman Strelau asked about mixed ages and how they would control consumption. Mr. Ilic replied that occasionally they will have a mother/daughter session, but noted that they rarely have anyone that is under 21. He stated that if there are mixed ages at tables, managers will be a set of extra eyes on anyone under 21.

Chairman Strelau asked if he was aware of the Village's control buy program. Mr. Ilic replied yes.

Chairman Strelau asked staff for recommendations or comments pertinent to this application. Ms. Kuchynka replied that issuance of the license is contingent upon receipt of the annual fee, satisfactory background checks, insurance, employee certifications and signed lease assignment.

Chairman Strelau asked for comments from the public. There were none.

Hearing the testimony given in this case, Chairman Strelau asked for a recommendation from the Commission concerning its finding of "qualified" or "not qualified" with respect to the applicant with regard to their Class "AS" liquor license application.

**MR. JACOBSON MOVED TO FIND ILIC ENTERPRISES, LLC D/B/A BOARD & BRUSH LOCATED AT 5151 MAIN STREET QUALIFIED FOR A CLASS "AS", BEER & WINE, ON-PREMISE CONSUMPTION LIQUOR LICENSE. MR. JOHNSON SECONDED.**

**VOTE:**

**Aye:** Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Krusenoski, Mr. Meta, Mr. Rausch, Mr. Shah, Chairman Strelau

**Nay:** None

**Abstain:** None

**MOTION CARRIED: 7:0:0**

**Bar Chido – 1012 Curtiss**

Chairman Strelau stated that the next order of business was an application hearing for So Chido, LLC d/b/a Bar Chido located at 1012 Curtiss. She stated that the applicant was seeking a Class "R-1", full alcohol, on-premise consumption restaurant liquor license and a Class "O" outdoor liquor license.

Chairman Strelau asked that any individual(s) representing the applicant step forward and be seated. She asked that any individual(s) giving testimony, state and spell their name for the record, indicate their affiliation with the establishment and be sworn in by the court reporter.

Mr. Sam Vlahos was sworn in by the court reporter. Mr. Vlahos introduced himself as the owner of Bar Chido.

Chairman Strelau asked the applicant to present its case.

Mr. Vlahos stated that the Bar Chido concept came about after discussion with the former Mia's Cantina owner who expressed interest in selling the business. Mr. Vlahos felt it was a good opportunity to open another restaurant at that location. He stated that Bar Chido will serve Mexican fare and will have a cozy taqueria/cantina atmosphere. Mr. Vlahos stated that Bar Chido will be his 3<sup>rd</sup> restaurant and added that he currently operates Fuller House in Hinsdale and Pierce Tavern in Downers Grove.

Chairman Strelau requested questions from the Commission.

Mr. Johnson asked Mr. Vlahos about his experience. Mr. Vlahos stated that he has been in the restaurant industry for eight years and worked for his father who owned a restaurant. He stated that he has owned and operated The Fuller House in Hinsdale for the past five years and Pierce Tavern in Downers Grove for the past two years.

Mr. Johnson asked how many employees they plan to hire. Mr. Vlahos replied 12-15.

Mr. Johnson if employees will be over 21. Mr. Vlahos replied servers and bartenders will be over 21.

Mr. Johnson noted on the application that Mr. Vlahos would serve as liquor manager at Bar Chido approximately 50 hours per week. He asked who would monitor the restaurant in his absence. Mr. Vlahos stated that he intends to hire a full time manager for the location but planned to set up and spend at least six months in this location to get it up and running. He stated that he wants to be personally

involved in the training and startup of the new location. He stated that once a replacement manager is hired, he will spend time at each of the locations. He noted that the proximity of Pierce Tavern is beneficial.

Mr. Vlahos stated that new hires will be trained in company policies and local requirements. He added that they will not accept vertical id's and noted that as an additional precaution they have a scanning device in-house. He added that any server who questions an identification is directed to request a second form of id.

Mr. Rausch wished Mr. Vlahos luck and was pleased that he was taking over the location.

Mr. Meta asked if they will be sharing food or staff from each location. Mr. Vlahos replied no. He stated that they will offer existing employees jobs within the locations so as not to lay anyone off.

Mr. Shah asked Mr. Vlahos when they planned to open. Mr. Vlahos replied January 19<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Jacobson thanked Mr. Vlahos for another great addition to Downers Grove. He wished them huge success and stated that they have proven to be well-experienced operators.

Mr. Krusenoski stated that Pierce Tavern has a proven track record for experience, service and being responsible in the service of liquor. He was grateful that they have taken over the former Mia's Cantina.

Chairman Strelau was pleased that they have pursued this location through the Covid crisis. She stated that they have demonstrated with their prior restaurant that they take liquor service very importantly. She cautioned them taking on a 3<sup>rd</sup> location as it will be harder to keep up.

Chairman Strelau asked staff for recommendations or comments pertinent to this application. Ms. Kuchynka replied that issuance of the license is contingent upon receipt of the Certificate of Occupancy, annual fees, signed lease, satisfactory background checks and employee certifications and a sidewalk café license agreement for the use of public property.

Chairman Strelau asked for comments from the public. There were none.

Hearing the testimony given in this case, Chairman Strelau asked for a recommendation from the Commission concerning its finding of "qualified" or "not qualified" with respect to the applicant with regard to their Class "R-1" liquor license application.

**MR. JACOBSON MOVED TO FIND SO CHIDO, LLC D/B/A BAR CHIDO LOCATED AT 1012 CURTISS QUALIFIED FOR A CLASS "R-1", RESTAURANT, FULL ALCOHOL, ON-PREMISE CONSUMPTION LIQUOR LICENSE. MR. JOHNSON SECONDED.**

**VOTE:**

**Aye:** Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Krusenoski, Mr. Meta, Mr. Rausch, Mr. Shah, Chairman Strelau

**Nay:** None

**Abstain:** None

**MOTION CARRIED: 7:0:0**

Hearing the testimony given in this case, Chairman Strelau asked for a recommendation from the Commission concerning its finding of "qualified" or "not qualified" with respect to the applicant with regard to their Class "O" liquor license application.

**MR. JACOBSON MOVED TO FIND SO CHIDO, LLC D/B/A BAR CHIDO LOCATED AT 1012 CURTISS QUALIFIED FOR A CLASS "O" OUTDOOR LIQUOR LICENSE. MR. JOHNSON SECONDED.**

**VOTE:**

**Aye:** Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Krusenoski, Mr. Meta, Mr. Rausch, Mr. Shah, Chairman Strelau

**Nay:** None

**Abstain:** None

**MOTION CARRIED: 7:0:0**

**V. NEW BUSINESS**

Chairman Strelau asked if there was any discussion, update from staff or comments from the Commission regarding any new business.

Ms. Kuchynka stated that since March, seating at dine-in establishments has been restricted and/or reduced due to Covid social distancing standards. She stated that the Village has since adopted Covid related actions to assist establishments by relaxing outdoor dining provisions and by simplifying/extending the temporary use process. She noted that outdoor dining both for both private and public property has been expanded.

Ms. Kuchynka stated that along with issuing a Class "O" outdoor license, the Village also requires a temporary use license to operate an outdoor café – whether or not liquor is served or sold from the premises. She added that temporary use permits are issued by the Community Development Department and are valid March 15-November 15. In connection with the permit, an inspection is completed on the site, public or private, to ensure public health/safety standards are met. She stated that an additional license agreement for the use of Village-owned property may also be required if any part or all of a café is located on a public right-of-way.

Ms. Kuchynka stated that an Executive Order was adopted by the Village streamlining the temporary use process, expanding outdoor dining areas and the season and relaxing permitting requirements by creating a set of general rules for outdoor dining that local establishments are to follow.

Ms. Kuchynka stated that she provided the Commission with the most recent Executive Order from the Mayor and a copy of the resolution extending and amending previous resolutions and emergency orders regarding outdoor dining and other liquor provisions.

Ms. Kuchynka stated that she provided the Commission with a copy of the Illinois Liquor Control Commission informational sheet concerning Covid-19 Related Action concerning sales and delivery of alcoholic liquor.

Ms. Kuchynka informed the Commission in July that she had been conducting a survey of surrounding communities at the request of the Mayor. She stated that she received information concerning their

license structure along with information on how they have assisted businesses during the pandemic. She stated that following the review of her survey, the Mayor asked that the Commission discuss outdoor liquor sales, the licensing of gas stations and “to go” liquor sales. She stated that background information/existing code for each item along with a copy of the survey was provided in their monthly packet materials.

Ms. Kuchynka stated that licensees throughout the Village are doing well with the use of additional space that has been granted for outdoor dining areas.

Ms. Kuchynka stated that outdoor dining areas were originally limited to establishments that had access to an outdoor area through the licensed premises. She stated that restrictions and types of enclosures have been amended from time to time to address problems that licensees had with limited space and resources for the enclosure. She stated that enclosures set forth the boundaries of the seating area and in some areas make certain that ADA accessibility standards are met. She added that, in some instances, enclosure requirements may inhibit some licensees to fully utilize limited space they have for outdoor dining.

### **Outdoor Enclosure Requirement**

Ms. Kuchynka asked for the Commission’s comments regarding the outdoor enclosure requirement.

The Commission discussed the importance of ADA access ways and that enclosures seem to define the licensee’s area of service. The group noted that while they did not want to restrict licensees from expanding outdoor areas, they wanted to be certain that pedestrian access is not impeded. While the group understood the Covid circumstances, licensees still need to be responsible for their areas, keep them clean while being orderly. There was some concern about the unorganized nature of the way the downtown dining areas seem. Chairman Strelau noted that one cannot tell where Another Round’s dining areas end or begin and it seemed as though tables were set out by the Village for anyone to sit with no rhyme or reason for their location or to whom they in fact belong.

The group noted Ms. Kuchynka’s survey results that all 14 communities, with the exception of Lisle, have an enclosure requirement. Chairman Strelau noted she has seen enclosures in Lisle, and whether required or not, a licensee may opt to enclose it to keep the area limited to their patron access. Ms. Kuchynka added that some establishments, especially during car shows, community events and the like, prefer that their seating areas be reserved for and limited to use by their patrons.

Mr. Krusenoski stated that while the outdoor policies have been relaxed to help licensees keep afloat with the closure or limited dining within establishments, he was uncertain that eliminating enclosure requirements is beneficial or desirable after Covid restrictions are removed. Ms. Kuchynka stated that once restrictions are lifted, café expansion would not continue to encroach onto the street and added that the barriers are considered to be temporary in nature. She noted that the Village has made arrangements to place concrete barriers in lieu of water filled barriers during the winter season. She stated that the current Executive Order will allow the operation of cafes up to December 31<sup>st</sup> on public property.

Mr. Rausch stated that he would be in favor of eliminating the enclosure requirement as he believes it would result in the downtown having more of a community-type feel to it.

The group discussed helping licensees during the Covid crisis and were not convinced that the extenuating circumstances arising from the pandemic would be an issue in the future concerning

enclosures. The group agreed that tabling the topic for further discussion and to get feedback from licensees about their concerns and issues with enclosure requirements.

Mr. Jacobson stated that he wanted to be certain that any expanded enclosure has no impact on the disabled and their access to any public rights of way.

**MR. JACOBSON MOVED TO TABLE DISCUSSION ON OUTDOOR ENCLOSURES FOR THE BENEFIT OF FURTHER INFORMATION. MR. JOHNSON SECONDED.**

**VOTE:**

**Aye:** Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Krusenoski, Mr. Meta, Mr. Rausch, Mr. Shah, Chairman Strelau

**Nay:** None

**Abstain:** None

**MOTION CARRIED: 7:0:0**

**Separate Class “O” License Requirement**

Ms. Kuchynka stated that in order to serve on the exterior of an establishment, a licensee is required to obtain a separate Class O outdoor liquor license which mirrors the licensed interior. She stated that she surveyed surrounding communities and have found that they either have a separate outdoor license or allow outdoor service under the principal license as a right. She stated that the Village’s ordinance requires submittal of an outdoor seating plan for review by the Commission and staff and a separate temporary use license for the operation of a café. She stated that while licensees apply only once for the outdoor license, the temporary use is required annually, which also requires a separate application and inspection. Ms. Kuchynka asked for the Commission’s feedback concerning the issuance of a separate license.

The Commission asked how many Class O licenses were issued. Ms. Kuchynka replied 30. They asked how regulations will be monitored. Ms. Kuchynka replied that Community Development staff will inspect the café yearly. Ms. Kuchynka stated that the Commission may still review outdoor seating plans and the Village can continue to impose outdoor serving guidelines, however, the issue is whether or not to require a separate liquor license for the outdoor area.

The Commission asked what would happen if a licensee decided that they wanted to open a café at a later date and not at initial application. Ms. Kuchynka replied, if a licensee wishes to add a café at a later date, they can be required to submit plans for review and approval from staff, but eliminate the need for a public hearing on an actual Class O license application. She stated that would reduce wait time and eliminate red tape in obtaining the license which in turn could be processed as a floor plan/change approval.

The Commission had no objection to eliminating red tape and removal of the separate Class “O” license in order to save licensees time for obtaining approval for operating an outdoor café and money for additional license fees. They felt that the licensee could be found qualified to serve alcohol generally without having to discuss whether it be served indoors or outdoors but still have review of the plans. They recommended that current provisions and guidelines regulating outdoor service remain.



**MR. JACOBSON MOVED TO ELIMINATE THE CLASS O AS A SEPARATE LICENSE REQUIREMENT. MR. JOHNSON SECONDED.**

**VOTE:**

**Aye:** Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Krusenoski, Mr. Meta, Mr. Rausch, Mr. Shah, Chairman Strelau

**Nay:** None

**Abstain:** None

**MOTION CARRIED: 7:0:0**

**Sale of Liquor at Gas Stations**

Ms. Kuchynka asked that the Commission discuss the issuance of liquor licenses to gas stations. She stated that in the past the Commission voted to restrict the issuance of a license to any premises which included the sale of gasoline. She recalled the restriction being put into place to curb potential problems with drinking and driving. She stated that she receives requests frequently for this type of license with the argument being that a person can drive to a liquor store just as they would a gas station to get liquor. Ms. Kuchynka asked for feedback.

Mr. Jacobson asked if there was a conflict of interest to vote on this subject as he represents a client who owns a gas station that sells liquor in unincorporated DuPage County. Ms. Didier replied no.

Ms. Kuchynka stated that the Amoco on Maple & Belmont is in an unincorporated pocket of DuPage County, which is bordered by Downers Grove. She noted that she frequently gets questioned about this location, as it is licensed by the County and many think it is in the corporate boundaries of Downers Grove. Ms. Kuchynka referred to her survey results. She stated that most communities in the surrounding area prohibit the sale of liquor at gas stations, and two allowing only to do so as the gas stations were annexed. She stated that Wheaton allows it, but prohibit the sale of singles and limits alcohol to 2% of their floor space for stock.

The group noted a patron's ability to stop for liquor, whether at a gas station or at a grocery, convenience or liquor store and wondered what difference it made where they obtained it, so long as they were not drinking while driving.

The group discussed allowing sales under the provisions of the P-1 and P-2 license class, but limit the amount of floor space devoted to liquor, keeping in line with provisions in the existing Code, the primary business being a gas station with convenience store.

There was no concern with restricting the sale of "singles"; however, the square footage devoted to beer/wine or full liquor should be consistent with those limits placed in the convenience store and grocery store definitions which is 25%. Ms. Kuchynka stated that square foot limitations for establishments were contained in the definition section of the Liquor Code.

**MR. RAUSCH MOVED TO CREATE A GAS STATION LIQUOR LICENSE CATEGORY WITH CONSISTENT FLOOR AREA RESTRICTIONS FOR ALCOHOL SELLING SPACE WHICH EXISTS IN THE CURRENT CODE. MR. JOHNSON SECONDED.**

**VOTE:**

**Aye:** Mr. Rausch, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Krusenowski, Mr. Meta, Mr. Shah, Chairman Strelau

**Nay:** None

**Abstain:** None

**MOTION CARRIED: 7:0:0**

**To-Go Sales**

Ms. Kuchynka asked the Commission for discussion concerning the sale of beer and wine “to go” from restaurants. She stated that R-1 and R-2 license holders are limited to on-premise consumption only. She stated that during Covid, under Executive Order, licensees have been allowed to sell and deliver beer/wine and, most recently, mixed cocktails in accordance with State law. She advised that the State generally allows “to go” sales but has enacted tighter restrictions for craft cocktails sold and delivered by a licensee.

Ms. Kuchynka provided a copy of the ILCC rules for Sales and Deliver of “To Go” Mixed Drinks/Cocktails dated June 2, 2020 to the Commission. She stated that the ILCC mixed drink/cocktail “to go” sales provisions will sunset in June of 2021, yet beer/wine “to go” sales continue to be allowed, unless they are prohibited by local ordinance.

Ms. Kuchynka explained that Cooper’s Hawk operates under the R-3 liquor license specially created for them and at their request which allows for the on-premise consumption of alcoholic liquor in connection with their restaurant and the retail sale of liquor for on or off premise consumption in connection with their house wine sales. She noted that the Lemon Tree Grocer held a license in the past which allowed on and off premise consumption with the operation of their grocery store and on-site restaurant.

Ms. Kuchynka advised that pizza places have requested and/or inquired about the ability to sell and/or deliver a pizza with a six pack of beer over the years. She noted that most recently local Covid, “to-go” restrictions have been relaxed in order to aid liquor license holders in lost revenues and to utilize their excess stock before it results in spoilage.

Ms. Kuchynka provided the Commission with background information on the R-1 and R-2 restaurant license class along with restrictions on the delivery of alcohol throughout the Village. She reiterated that R-1 and R-2 licenses may only offer full liquor or beer and wine for on-premise consumption.

Ms. Kuchynka advised that delivery restrictions set forth in Section 3.33.4 were put in place to address issues and concern a former Commission member had with home deliveries of alcohol that were being made by Peapod and other grocery shopping/delivery services without regulation.

Ms. Kuchynka believed that licensees under current Covid restrictions were handling their own deliveries and/or allowing patrons to pick up beer/wine/cocktails with the service of meals. She noted that the State currently restricts the transfer of mixed cocktails by third party vendors under the June 2<sup>nd</sup> ILCC rules concerning mixed drinks/cocktails.

The group was concerned about how deliveries were to be allowed, specifically, who would be accountable in the event of a violation. The group was aware of delivery services such as InstaCart, Grub Hub and Door Dash but wondered what, if any training, drivers are provided and if they have BASSETT certification or knowledge of how and what to look for when requesting identification.

**MR. JACOBSON MOVED TO TABLE DISCUSSION CONCERNING “TO GO” SALES PROVISIONS FOR THE BENEFIT OF FURTHER INFORMATION. MR. JOHNSON SECONDED.**

**VOTE:**

**Aye:** Mr. Jacobson, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Krusenoski, Mr. Meta, Mr. Rausch, Mr. Shah, Chairman Strelau

**Nay:** None

**Abstain:** None

**MOTION CARRIED: 7:0:0**

**VI. OLD BUSINESS**

Chairman Strelau asked if there was any discussion, update from staff or comments from the Commission regarding any old business.

Ms. Kuchynka referred to the past four month end reports. She stated that liquor license renewals were finalized on October 31<sup>st</sup> and advised that 89 licensees had renewed. She stated that a few businesses have closed and few opted not to renew due to the cost vs. benefit of selling liquor. She provided the Commission with an updated classification chart along with a list of 20/21 liquor license holders.

Ms. Kuchynka will advise the Commission if there will be a December 5<sup>th</sup> meeting to hear applications along with updates on the items discussed at this evening’s meeting.

**VII. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC**

There were none.

**VIII. ADJOURNMENT**

Concluding business for the evening, Chairman Strelau called for a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Jacobson moved to adjourn the November 5, 2020 meeting. The meeting was adjourned by acclimation at 8:30 p.m.