

Staff Responses to Council Questions
November 22, 2016

6. Consent Agenda

C. Resolution: Authorize an Extension to the Agreement with HD Supply Waterworks Ltd.

References to 2017 Budget?

The FY17 Proposed Budget provides \$40,820 in the Water Fund (Page 4-25, line 16) for this purchase.

Resolutions D-G. Authorizing agreements with Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd., Engineering Resources Associates, Inc., Clark Dietz, Inc., and V3 Companies of Illinois, Ltd.

References to 2017 Budget?

The FY16 Budget and FY17 Proposed Budget provides a total of \$700,000 in the Stormwater Fund (Page 4- 29, line 17 and Page 4-21, line 1, respectively) for these contracts.

Given that the County is collecting data prior to assembling stormwater projects prior to implementing a County Stormwater Fee...

A. Should we be expending these funds or might we get some funding for these studies from the County now or in the future?

It is very unlikely the County would fund an analysis of LPDA's as they are a local stormwater management issue that the County has not been involved with. Staff is working closely with the County, who has expressed interest in funding projects that impact larger stormwater issues like floodplain mapping, detention that mitigates flooding, and water quality improvements.

B. Why not wait until the County goes further in its process? In short, why should our residents pay using our fees before the County process – whatever we do at our cost may take the possibility of the County doing its job and/or removing projects from their list and their costs.

The County is currently updating FEMA floodplain maps which will not address smaller scale, LPDAs. These studies will benefit local residents by better defining high water levels for LPDAs, and more accurately reflecting LPDA areas on Village maps.

8. First Reading

A. Ordinance: Authorize the Extension of a Special Use to Permit an Animal Boarding Facility at 941 63rd Street to May 10, 2017

Given that a Court process could delay or decide in a manner inconsistent with the Village approval, why should an extension be granted as opposed to finding out the Court decision and

how it may affect the project. In short, clear legal hurdles before a Village decision or extension?

In order to maintain the *status quo* during the pendency of the litigation, staff is recommending approval of the extension of the special use permit. Therefore, if the petitioner were to prevail in the litigation all that would be required to begin the project would be in the issuance of the building permit. On the other hand, if the special use permit was not extended, the petitioner would be required to begin the entire special use process over, starting with the plan commission hearing.

Non-Agenda Questions

Given the proposed extensions of certain projects, could you provide an update on extending/new agreement on Nelson Meadows to Council?

Pursuant to the Subdivision Improvement Agreement executed in November 2014, the deadline for substantial completion of the public improvements (stormwater management, water supply, streets, etc.) was November 18, 2016. The agreement allows the developer to request and the Village to grant an extension to the completion deadline. The subdivision improvement agreement is still valid and applicable. Accordingly, all permits, the letter of credit and insurance are also all still valid.

The developer is diligently pursuing the completion of the public improvements. The asphalt portion of the streets should be installed in the next few days. The developer is continuing to work on the detention basin and other public improvements.

Staff will continue to monitor progress and will determine the need to extend the construction schedule when winter conditions set in.

ATTACHMENTS

There are no rEmarks this week.